

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NU	MBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NU.		
07/686	07/686,210 04/16/91			BERNAUER		8468	
, ·	ocal III. Po		REAMER,	EXAMINET.			
GEORGE 340 KI NUTLEY	MD ST.			1206 DATE MAILED:	PAPER NUMERS		
		tie exeminer in charge o IS AND TRADEMARKS				10/23/91	
	y period fa ithin the p	or response to this ac period for response w	esponsive to communication ction is set to expire	on filed on 711 month(s), 2 become abandoned	days from	This action is made final. the date of this letter.	
1. Notice of 3. Notice of	Reference Art Cited	es Cited by Examine by Applicant, PTO-1 w to Effect Drawing C	r, PTO-892.	2. Notice	re Patent Drawing, Pi of Informal Patent Ap	FO-948. plication, Form PTO-152	
Part II SUMMARY	OF ACT	170 39	:		·	are pending in the application.	
. Of	the abov	e, claims 8 To	10 + 16 To 3	0	ere	withdrawn from consideration.	
2. Claims_		<del></del>				have been cancelled.	
s. Claims _						are allowed.	
4. 🔯 Claims _	1	To 7,11 TO 1	5 + 31 703	<u> </u>		are rejected.	
5. Claims _						are objected to.	
6. Claims_				en	e subject to restriction	n or election requirement.	
7. 🔲 This appli	7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.						
. 8. 🔲 Formal dr	awings an	e required in respons	e to this Office action.				
. 9. The correct are a	cted or su coeptable	bstitute drawings hav	ve been received on (see explanation or Notice	re Patent Drawing,	PTO-948).	97 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings	
10. The propo examiner;	sed addi	tional or substitute st oproved by the exami	neet(s) of drawings, filed or iner (see explanation).	n	, has (have) been E	approved by the	
11. The propo	sed drawi	ing correction, filed _	h	as been 🔲 approv	ed; 🛘 disapproved (	see explanation).	
12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received been received field in parent application, serial no							
13. Since this	epplicatio	n apppears to be in o	condition for allowance exc arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11;	cept for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to	•	
14. Other		·					

Serial No. 07/686,210

Art Unit 126

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1 to 7, 11 to 15 and 3½ to 39 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Kitahara et al, British
Patent (2) or Miyamoto et al. (3), cited in the parent
application, No. 395,110, for the reasons of record. The
composition claims, 32 to 34, are not considered patentable over
the prior art any more than the compound claims since they recite
the compound plus an inert carrier. The claims have been
examined to the extent they read upon the elected species.

Claims 1 to 7, 11 to 15 to 3¢ to 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Watsuka et al (4). Positional isomers of the instant compounds are taught on page 13, formula III of Watsuka et al. which renders the instant compounds and compositions prima facie obvious absent a showing

James HReamer

Serial No. 07/686,210

Art Unit 126

of unexpected properties. The claims have been examined to the extent they read upon the elected species.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

JAMES H. REAMER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 120 - ART UNIT 126

Reamer:st

October 21, 1991